

Age determination with radio morphometric investigation employing orthopantomography and mandibular morphometrics - An original research.

(Determinación de la edad mediante investigación radiomorfométrica empleando ortopantomografía y morfometría mandibular: Una investigación original)

Vidhya Selvaraj¹, Vaishnavi Srinivasan², D Swathi¹, Naga Naveena N², Annie Evangelin¹, Malabika Shil¹,

¹ Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics sri Venkateswara Dental college and hospital, thalambur, Chennai, India

² Department of Oral Pathology, Government Dental College and Hospital, Hyderabad, India
³ Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Sri Ramakrishna Dental College and Hospital, Coimbatore, India
⁴ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, SCB Dental College And Hospital, Cuttack Odisha, India
⁵ Department Oral & Maxillofacial Surgeon Consultant at Scudder Memorial Hospital- Ranipet, India
⁶ Department Oral and Maxillofacial Radiologist, Nidaan Diagnostic centre, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Received: 26th December 2024. Acepted: 20th March 2025. Online publication: 4th April 2025

[ARTÍCULO ORIGINAL]

PII: S2477-9369(24)13000X-O

Abstract(english)

Mandible is a thick, dimorphic bone that is remarkably well-preserved might help in morphometric analysis, structural changes related to age and sex and forensic age determination. The main aim was to evaluate the accuracy of the mandibular radio morphometric parameters for age estimation in the South Indian population utilizing digital over-the-glass age gauges, as well as their association with chronological age. Minimal correlation was observed between gonial angle and age, ramus breadth and age whereas moderate correlation was observed between bicondylar/bigonial width and age. Moderate-to-high correlation observed between condylion gonion/coronoid gonion length with age. Important indicators for age estimation include the bigonial breadth, bicondylar width, and coronoid, or gonion length. As such, the morphometric analysis of the mandible might be useful in forensic odontology.

Keywords(english)

Age, mandible, forensic, odontology, orthopantomogram

Resumen(español)

La mandíbula es un hueso grueso y dimórfico, notablemente bien conservado, que podría ser útil en el análisis morfométrico, los cambios estructurales relacionados con la edad y el sexo, y la determinación de la edad forense. El objetivo principal fue

☑ Autor de correspondencia: Dr.Karthik Shunmugavelu, Assistant Professor / Consultant Dental Surgeon / Consultant Oral and Maxillofacial Pathologist, Department of Dentistry/Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, PSP medical college hospital and research institute Tambaram Kanchipuram main road Oragadam Panruti Kanchipuram district Tamilnadu 631604. Mobile 0091-9789885622/9840023697. <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7562-8802</u>.

evaluar la precisión de los parámetros radiomorfométricos mandibulares para la estimación de la edad en la población del sur de la India utilizando medidores de edad digitales sobre vidrio, así como su asociación con la edad cronológica. Se observó una correlación mínima entre el ángulo gonial y la anchura de la rama, mientras que se observó una correlación moderada entre la anchura bicondílea/bigonial y la edad. Se observó una correlación moderada-alta entre la longitud del gonión condilion/coronoides y la edad. Indicadores importantes para la estimación de la edad incluyen la anchura bigonial, la anchura bicondílea y la longitud de la coronoides. Por lo tanto, el análisis morfométrico de la mandíbula podría ser útil en odontología forense.

Palabras clave(español)

Edad, mandíbula, odontología forense, ortopantomografía

Introduction

Determining age in the forensics profession in situations involving mass disasters, law enforcement, medicine, criminality and the identification of unidentified victim bodies is critical. Regarding living individuals, issues relating to legal age, including criminal activity, licenses, visa applications, pension plans, etc , age can be ascertained by skeletal, dental, chronological, biological, psychological, physiological, and mental variables (1). In legal circumstances, an individual's skeletal and dental ages are ascertained when their chronological age is uncertain. Age estimation differs for paediatric-adolescence and adult population. In paediatric-adolescence population, radiographical methods involve atlas, incremental staging and mandibular morphometrics whereas secondary method is of biochemical nature. In adult population, three methods are of morphological, radiographical and biochemical. Mandible is a thick, dimorphic bone that is remarkably well-preserved might help in morphometric analysis, structural changes related to age and sex and forensic age determination. Mandibular structures can be easily evaluated with the commonly used, non-invasive panoramic radiography technique (2). Maximum ramus breadth is the distance between the angle of the jaw and the most posterior point on the condyle, as well as the most anterior point on the mandibular ramus. The minimum ramus width is the smallest ramus diameter, both anterior and posterior. Gonial angle is the intersection of two lines among which one tangential to the posterior border of the ramus and the condyle, and the other tangential to the lower border of the mandibular body and the most inferior point at the angle.

Minimum width of ramus refers to the region in ramus with the smallest anterior-posterior diameter (3).

Materials and methods

The main aim was to evaluate the accuracy of the mandibular radio morphometric parameters for age estimation in the South Indian population utilizing digital orthopantomogram, as well as their association with chronological age. And also to measure gonial angle, maximum ramus breadth, minimum ramus breadth, bicondylar width, bigonial width, condyliongonion length and coronoid-gonion length. The Institutional Ethics Committee granted authorization for our dental college to conduct the current retrospective radio morphometric investigation.

For analysis, panoramic radiographs of the patients documented between September 2022 and June 2023 were retrieved from the department's records. G power statistics were used to determine the sample size, and 294 OPGs between the ages of 5 and 70 were included in the research. High-quality panoramic pictures excellent resolution and contrast for the structures. Either totally or partly missing teeth were included. Existence of a pathological lesion, fracture, or mandibular deformity.

Bone plates and splinting were excluded.

Resultados

Details regarding gender and age estimation is mentioned below, *Group1*: Ages 5 to 19 (30 males and 30 females in the sample). *Group2*: Ages 20 to 29 (60 sample, 28 men and 32 women). *Group 3*: 30-39 years old (25 men and 33 women in the sample of 60). *Group 4*: 60 sample people, 29 men and 31 women, aged 40 to 49. *Group 5*: Ages 50–70 (26 males and 28 females out of 54 sample members) (figure 1a and 1b).

Python was used for statistical analysis. The research subjects' descriptive data were reported as minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation (SD) for each parameter (Figure 2).



Minimal correlation was observed between gonial angle and age, ramus breadth and age whereas moderate correlation was observed between bicondylar/bigonial width and age. Moderate-to-high correlation observed between condylion gonion/coronoid gonion length with age (Figure 3). The study employed the stepwise regression technique to identify important age factors.

Significant variables that enable age prediction were bicondylar width, bigonial width, and coronoid-gonion length

| | AGE | GONIAL_ANGLE | MAXIMUM_RAMUS_BREADTH | MINIMUM_RAMUS_BREADTH | ١. | BICONDYLAR_WIDTH | BIGONIAL_WIDTH | CONDYLION_GONI |
|--------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| count | 294.000000 | 294.000000 | 294.000000 | 294.000000 | | 294.000000 | 294.000000 | 294.0000 |
| mean | 31.013605 | 125.076156 | 35.058061 | 27.977925 | | 169.446633 | 139.6/251/ | 54.4316 |
| std | 18.131483 | 7.106388 | 4.269407 | 3.484636 | | 15.627625 | 15.843234 | 6.2998 |
| min | 3.000000 | 107.540000 | 23.090000 | 19.620000 | | 115.750000 | 85.600000 | 31.7800 |
| 25% | 17.000000 | 120.357500 | 32.392500 | 25.512500 | | 160.200000 | 128.782500 | 50.9425 |
| 50% | 24.000000 | 125.300000 | 35.250000 | 27.800000 | | 171.045000 | 140.780000 | 54.6600 |
| 75% | 46.000000 | 129.877500 | 37.925000 | 30.420000 | | 180.982500 | 149.435000 | 58.4625 |
| max | 78.000000 | 149.860000 | 46.340000 | 39.060000 | | 207.500000 | 185.540000 | 74.6200 |
| ure 2. | Relation | ship betwee | n age and gonial ang | gle, maximum - mini | mun | n breadth of ramu | s, bicondylar v | vidth, |



Regression equation - AGE = -9.77 + (0.688 * BICONDYLAR WIDTH) + (-0.548 * BIGONIAL WIDTH) + (0.823 * CORONOID-GONION LENGTH) (figure 4)

Discussion

The ramus and mandibular condyle are reliable indicators of age. As these structures develop, they vary morphologically, and the pace at which they grow varies with age and gender. Several studies have demonstrated the differentiability of these radiomorphometric indices as age markers (4). Coronoid ramus height has been found to be a better predictor of age than condylar height in several of the studies. Age estimates are helpful in organizing victims of catastrophic tragedies based on comparable ages. The age at which a person is deemed to have attained adulthood and proclaimed themselves to be a full member of the legal community is known as the age of majority (5,6). When determining the post-mortem. For refugees who enter the country without legitimate identity documents and who want to enjoy civil rights and/or social benefits in a modern society; they could also require age verification. while verifying the age of individuals who are hesitant to reveal their age, such as immigrants and people with criminal records. as verification of age for the purpose of receiving retirement benefits (7,8).

Important indicators for age estimation include the bigonial breadth, bicondylar width, and coronoid, or gonion length. As such, the morphometric analysis of the mandible might be useful in forensic odontology. More studies with larger sample sizes and individuals with different bone types are needed.

| Den Variable: AGE R_squared: A 215 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|----------------|---------|----------|--------|--|--|--|
| Model: | | 01 5 | Adi. | R-squared: | | 0.215 | | | | |
| Method: | Least Squa | res | F-sta | tistic: | | 8,858 | | | | |
| Date: T | Thu, 14 Sep 2023 18:42:04 | | Prob | (F-statistic): | | | | | | |
| Time: | | | Log-L | ikelihood: | | -992.42 | 2.42 | | | |
| No. Observations: | | 235 | AIC: | | | 2001. | | | | |
| Df Residuals: | | 227 | BIC: | | | 2029. | | | | |
| Df Model: | | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Covariance Type: | nonrob | ust | | | | | | | | |
| | coef | std | err | t | P> t | [0.025 | 0.975] | | | |
| const | -9.7710 | 32 | .535 | -0.300 | 0.764 | -73.880 | 54.339 | | | |
| GONIAL_ANGLE | -0.2454 | 0 | .204 | -1.204 | 0.230 | -0.647 | 0.156 | | | |
| MAXIMUM_RAMUS_BREADTH | -0.5940 | 0 | .487 | -1.219 | 0.224 | -1.555 | 0.367 | | | |
| MINIMUM_RAMUS_BREADTH | -0.6326 | 0 | .579 | -1.092 | 0.276 | -1.774 | 0.509 | | | |
| BICONDYLAR_WIDTH | 0.6883 | 0 | .147 | 4.678 | 0.000 | 0.398 | 0.978 | | | |
| BIGONIAL_WIDTH | -0.5479 | 0 | .132 | -4.155 | 0.000 | -0.808 | -0.288 | | | |
| CONDITION_GUNION | 0.3013 | 0 | - 347 | 1.447 | 0.149 | -0.181 | 1.104 | | | |
| | 0.0257 | ===== | .308 | 2.241 | 0.020 | 0.099 | 1.540 | | | |
| Omnibus: | 17. | 621 | Durbi | n-Watson: | | 1.963 | | | | |
| Prob(Omnibus): | 0. | 000 | Jarqu | e-Bera (JB): | | 16.199 | | | | |
| Skew: | 0. | 575 | Prob(| JB): | | 0.000304 | | | | |
| Kurtosis: | ۷. | 425 | cond. | NO. | | 7.91e+03 | | | | |
| R-squared: 0.21454 Significant Variat ['BICONDYLAR WIDTH | 43616909890 bles: H', 'BIGON | 62 IAL_! | WIDTH | ', 'CORONOI | D_GONIO | N'] | | | | |
| Coefficients: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients: | _9_ | 7709 | 80 | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients: const | -9. | 7709 | 80 | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients: const GONIAL_ANGLE | -9. -0.3 | 7709 | 80 72 | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients: const GONIAL_ANGLE MAXIMUM_RAMUS_BREA | -9. -0.1 | 7709 2453 5940 | 80 72 41 | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients: const GONIAL_ANGLE MAXIMUM_RAMUS_BREA MINIMUM_RAMUS_BREA | -9. -0. ADTH -0. ADTH -0. | 7709 2453 5940 6326 | 80 72 41 34 | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients: const GONIAL_ANGLE MAXIMUM_RAMUS_BREA MINIMUM_RAMUS_BREA BICONDYLAR_WIDTH | -9. -0. ADTH -0. ADTH -0. 0. | 7709 2453 5940 6326 6883 | 80 72 41 34 05 | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients: const GONIAL_ANGLE MAXIMUM_RAMUS_BREA MINIMUM_RAMUS_BREA BICONDYLAR_WIDTH BIGONIAL_WIDTH | -9. -0. ADTH -0. ADTH -0. 0. -0. | 7709 2453 5940 6326 6883 5479 | 80 72 41 34 05 19 | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients: const GONIAL_ANGLE MAXIMUM_RAMUS_BREA MINIMUM_RAMUS_BREA BICONDYLAR_WIDTH BIGONIAL_WIDTH CONDYLION_GONION | -9. -0. ADTH -0. ADTH -0. 0. -0. | 7709 2453 5940 6326 6883 5479 5014 | 80 72 41 34 05 19 93 | | | | | | | |

Conflicts of interest

None to declare

- Chole RH, Patil RN, Balsaraf Chole S, Gondivkar S, Gadbail AR, Yuwanati MB. Association of mandible anatomy with age, gender, and dental status: A radiographic study. ISRN radiology. 201318;2013. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Leversha J, McKeough G, Myrteza A, Skjellrup-Wakefiled H, Welsh J, Sholapurkar A. Age and gender correlation of gonial angle, ramus height and bigonial width in dentate subjects in a dental school in Far North Queensland.

J Clin Exp Dent. 2016; 8:e49-54. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Referencias

- Abu-Taleb NS, El Beshlawy DM. Mandibular ramus and gonial angle measurements as predictors of sex and age in an Egyptian population sample: A digital panoramic study. J Forensic Res. 2015. [Google Scholar]
- Upadhyay RB, Upadhyay J, Agrawal P, Rao NN. Analysis of gonial angle in relation to age, gender, and dentition status by radiological and

anthropometric methods. J Forensic Dent Sci. 2012; 4: 29-33. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- Muskaan A, Sarkar S. Mandible-An indicator for age and sex determination using digital orthopantomogram. Scholars J Dent Sci. 2015; 2:82-95.
- Al-Shamout R, Ammoush M, Alrbata R, Al-Habahbah A. Age and gender differences in gonial angle, ramus height and bigonial width in dentate subjects. Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal. 2012 Jun 1;32(1).

- Behl AB, Grewal S, Bajaj K, Baweja PS, Kaur G, Kataria P. Mandibular ramus and gonial angle— Identification tool in age estimation and sex determination: A digital panoramic radiographic study in north indian population. Journal of Indian Academy of Oral Medicine and Radiology. 2020;32: 31. [Google Scholar]
- Poongodi V, Kanmani R, Anandi MS, Krithika CL, Kannan A, Raghuram PH. Prediction of age and gender using digital radiographic method: A retrospective study. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2015;7 (Suppl 2): S504-8. doi: 10.4103/0975-7406.163518. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

How to cite this article. Vidhya S, Vaishnavi S, D Swathi, Naga Naveena N, Annie E, Malabika S. Age determination with radio morphometric investigation employing orthopantomography and mandibular morphometrics -An original research. *Avan Biomed* 2024; 13: 162-7



Avances en Biomedicina se distribuye bajo la Licencia CreativeCommons Atribución-NoComercial-Compartirlgual 4. 0 Venezuela, por lo que el envío y la publicación de artículos a la revista son completamente gratuitos.



https://me-qr.com/Jfqxc09L